

REMARKS

In deference to the Examiner's request, headings are being added to the specification. However, applicant would respectfully remind the Examiner that these headings are a matter of preference, not something which is required by either the statute or Rules.


Claims 4 - 18 have all been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in a way which would convey that the inventor had possession of the invention at the time the application was filed.

In making that rejection, the Examiner has questioned whether the original specification supports claiming elimination of quadrature error displacement "completely altogether". In so doing, the Examiner is reading something into the claims which is not there. The claims do not call for eliminating quadrature displacement completely or altogether; they simply say "to eliminate quadrature displacement", and that limitation is met by eliminating any part of that displacement. Rather than belaboring the issue, "eliminate" is being changed to "reduce", and with that amendment, applicant trusts that the rejection will be withdrawn.

Claims 4 - 18 have all been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. This rejection is based on the same issue as the other one, and with the amendment of "eliminate" to "reduce", applicant trusts that it, too, will be withdrawn and that the application will be passed to issue.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees required in this matter, including extension fees, to Deposit Account 50-2319, Order No. A-68944/ESW.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Edward S. Wright
Registration No. 24,973

(650) 494-8700